

Grade 8: Unit 2: Argumentative Research Paper Rubric

Element	4 Advanced	3 Proficient	2 Partially Proficient	1 Below Proficiency
Ideas & Content: Central Idea/ Argument (Argument Writing)	 Offers precise and insightful claims. Provides thoroughly developed analysis by backing all claims. Develops effective, convincing appeals to logos. Supports position with compelling, relevant, accurate, and credible evidence. Refutes counterclaims when appropriate. Conveys an accurate and in-depth understanding of the topic, audience, and purpose for the writing task. 	 Offers clear and explicit claims. Provides adequate analysis by backing claims. Develops and/or imitates appeals to logos. Supports position with relevant and credible evidence. Identifies counterclaims. Conveys an accurate and complete understanding of the topic, audience, and purpose for the writing task. 	 Offers claims which are somewhat limited and/or especially broad and vague. Provides minimal analysis in terms of backing claims. Attempts appeals to logos. Attempts to support position with evidence; however, evidence may be minimal, irrelevant, or inadequate. Conveys a partially accurate and/or somewhat basic understanding of the topic, audience, and purpose for the writing task. 	 Claims are indistinct, too limited, confusing, and/or especially vague. Little or no analysis in terms of backing claims. No appeals to logos. Provides little or no evidence; response consists mainly of narration and/or repetition of content. Conveys a confused, incoherent, or largely inaccurate understanding of the topic, audience, and purpose for the writing task.
Organization (Argument Writing)	 Skillfully establishes and maintains consistent focus on a clear and compelling thesis. Exhibits logical and coherent structure with claims, evidence and backing that convincingly support the thesis. Progresses with purposeful pacing and makes skillful use of transitional words and phrases. Weaves quotes and paraphrasing into the text selectively to maintain the flow of ideas in a logical order. Concludes with purpose and gives sense of finality that is memorable. 	 Establishes and maintains focus on a clear thesis. Exhibits a logical sequence of claims and evidence to support the thesis. Progresses with controlled pacing and makes functional use of transitional words and phrases. Integrates quotes and paraphrasing in a logical order. Concludes with purpose. 	Establishes but sometimes fails to maintain focus on a thesis. Exhibits a sometimes logical sequence of claims, evidence, and backing; ideas within paragraphs may be inconsistently organized. Progresses at an awkward pace, making an inconsistent attempt to use basic transitional words and phrases. Inserts quotes and paraphrasing. Vague or unsatisfying conclusion.	 Fails to include a thesis or thesis is confused or irrelevant; fails to maintain focus. Little attempt to organize ideas into a beginning, middle, and end, creating a complete lack of organization and coherence. Progress is halted; makes little or no attempt to use transition words or phrases. Fails to use quotes and paraphrasing. Limited or no conclusion.
Voice (Argument Writing)	Established tone is consistently authoritative and convincing.	Establishes and maintains a formal style and objective tone.	Establishes an inconsistent formal style and objective tone.	Establishes tone not suitable for purpose.



Word Choice & Sentence Fluency (Argument Writing)	 Language is fluent, original, precise and engaging, with a notable sense of voice and awareness of audience and purpose. Employs figurative/rhetorical language purposefully and successfully (e.g. analogy or metaphor for clarity, organization, and style). Effectively incorporates a range of varied sentence patterns, including varied sentence beginnings. 	 Language is fluent and precise with evident awareness of audience and purpose. Employs figurative/rhetorical language purposefully. Incorporates some varied sentence patterns and beginning. 	Language is appropriate but basic with limited awareness of audience and purpose. Employs figurative/rhetorical language limitedly and with limited success. Makes some attempt to include different sentence patterns but with awkward or uneven success.	 Relies on basic, imprecise, or sometimes unsuitable vocabulary for the audience or purpose. Lacks figurative/rhetorical language. Reveals a confused understanding of how to write in complete sentences; shows little or no ability to vary sentence patterns.
Conventions (Grammar and Mechanics)	Demonstrates control of the conventions with essentially no errors, even with sophisticated language.	Demonstrates control of the conventions, exhibiting occasional errors only when using sophisticated language (e.g., punctuation of complex sentences); errors do not hinder comprehension.	Demonstrates partial control; multiple errors sometimes hinder comprehension (e.g., agreement of pronouns and antecedents; spelling of basic words).	Demonstrates lack of control, exhibiting frequent errors that make comprehension difficult (e.g., subject verb agreement; use of slang).
MLA Citations (Research Process)	The document is expertly formatted in accordance with MLA (includes page layout, in-text citations, works cited page) and free of plagiarism.	The document is consistently formatted in accordance with MLA (includes page layout, in-text citations, works cited page) and free of plagiarism.	The document is inconsistently formatted in accordance with MLA; however, it is free of plagiarism.	The document is incorrectly formatted according to MLA specifications and may include plagiarism because of incorrect citations/MLA format.

